

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/913,614	12/05/2001	Hiroshi Kutsumi	MTS-3278US	2492
7590 03/23/2005			EXAMINER	
· Allen Ratner			COBY, FRANTZ	
Ratner & Prestia	•		L DMIDIM I	
One Westlakes Berwyn Suite 301			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PO Box 980			2161	
Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980			DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/913,614	KUTSUMI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Frantz Coby	2161			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reference to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to exply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	November 2004.				
		nis action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 4,5,7-11 and 30-32 is/are pending is 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 4,5,7-11 and 30-32 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examir	nor				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		Evaminer			
.0/	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	- · ·	• •			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	- · · ·				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document are Copies of the priority document are Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar				
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail I  5) Notice of Informal  6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)			

This is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on November 12, 2004 in which claims 1-2, 6 and 12-29 were canceled; claims 3-5, and 7-11 were amended and claim 32 was added.

Page 2

#### Status of Claims

Claims 4-5, 7-11 and 30-32 are pending.

### Specification

Claims 7 and 10-11 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim may only contain reference, in the alternative only to more than one claim previously set forth. However, claims 7 and 10-11 references to 32, 3-5, 8, and 9. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 7 and 10-11 not been further treated on the merits.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Application/Control Number: 09/913,614

Art Unit: 2161

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 8, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sakurai U.S. Patent no. 6,130,962.

As per claim 8, Sakurai discloses "an information input means" (See Sakurai Figure 1, component 101)., "a database" (See Sakurai Figure 1, component 103),. "a search means" as a control unit which searches the mark database (See Sakurai Figure 1, component 2602, Abstract', Col. 2, lines 2-7), "an information display means" (See Sakurai Figure 1, component 104)', "a selection means" See Sakurai Col. 13, lines 16-19)., "an output means" as a display unit (See Sakurai Figure 1, component 104).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakurai U.S. Patent no. 6,130,962.

As per claim 3-5, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claim 2. Applicant's attention is directed to the rejection of claim 2 above . In

Application/Control Number: 09/913,614

Art Unit: 2161

addition Sakurai discloses freely attachable and detachable recording medium as a computer readable medium (See Sakurai Col. 7, lines 18-20).

It is noted that Sakurai did not specifically detail the aspect of reading out contents stored in the recording medium when the recording medium is loaded or mounted in the supply device. However, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to store contents in a recording medium and load it to be read in the computer of Sakurai because that would have allowed useful and important information to be added or stored in the supply device of Sakurai.

Newly submitted claim 32 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Claim 32 is a combination of claims 1-3 that were canceled because they are directed to another invention.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 32 withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim.

Art Unit: 2161

Claims 30-31 are allowable over the prior art of record. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record failed to provide a methodology for searching a database for an e-mail preparation including steps of providing attributions that represent a human feeling, impression or sensibility wherein an attribution value represents a degree of the human feeling impression or sensibility. These claimed features being present in independent claim 30 render claims 30-31 allowable over the prior art of record. Also, these claimed features.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/913,614 Page 6

Art Unit: 2161

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantz Coby whose telephone number is 571 272 4017. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Saturday 3:00PM-10:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 571 272 4023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frantz Coby
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2161

March 19, 2005